

Biological processes in the Green Hydrogen value chain

Biological processes can be integrated in the green hydrogen value chain acting with the dual role of producers and consumers. Green hydrogen is produced biologically from organic wastes through Dark Fermentation, a biological process which has some advantages compared to other renewable H₂ producing technologies. It is a carbon neutral process which can produce continuously green H₂ with low energy requirement, combined with sustainable waste management. Moreover, together with H₂, it produces a number of valuable by-products. The use of H₂ to convert CO₂ to methane is the key bio-reaction of the biomethanation process which allows the enhancement of the conventional Anaerobic Digestion process. Moreover, the consume of H₂ as a source of reducing power by microorganisms has the potential of producing a large spectrum of bio-based products of industrial interest. Biological processes play a fundamental role in the development of future waste-based, H₂-oriented, bio-refineries.

I processi biologici possono essere integrati nella catena del valore dell'idrogeno verde agendo con il duplice ruolo di produttori e consumatori. L'idrogeno verde viene prodotto biologicamente da rifiuti organici attraverso la fermentazione scura, un processo biologico che presenta alcuni vantaggi rispetto ad altre tecnologie di produzione di H₂ rinnovabile. È un processo carbon neutral in grado di produrre H₂ verde continuamente con un basso fabbisogno energetico, combinato con una gestione sostenibile dei rifiuti. Inoltre, insieme a H₂, produce una serie di preziosi sottoprodotti. L'uso di H₂ per convertire la CO₂ in metano è la bio-reazione chiave del processo di biometanazione che consente il potenziamento del processo convenzionale di digestione anaerobica. Inoltre, il consumo di H₂ come fonte di potere riducente da parte di microrganismi ha il potenziale di produrre un ampio spettro di bioprodotti di interesse industriale. I processi biologici giocano un ruolo fondamentale nello sviluppo di future bioraffinerie basate sui rifiuti, orientate all'H₂.

DOI 10.12910/EAI2021-019

by Antonella Marone, Giulia Massini, Vito Pignatelli, Silvia Rosa, Antonella Signorini (*)

Biological processes will play a relevant role in the green hydrogen value chain: they participate with the dual role of producers and users of green H₂, providing sustainable and renewable technologies for energy and added value products. Green H₂ is produced biologically from the valorisation of organic

wastes through the Dark Fermentation (DF). As part of the Anaerobic Digestion (AD) process, H₂ is a by-product of the first acidogenic conversion of organic matter into volatile organic acids and alcohols [1].

H₂ can be used to convert CO₂ into methane (CH₄) through biological means, the so-called biomethanation

process [3], a "Power to Gas" (P2G) technology. The biomethanation, as the DF, consists in a "reinterpretation" of the conventional AD process since the microorganisms involved both in the H₂ production and in the conversion of CO₂ and H₂ into CH₄, are already present within the community realizing the AD process (Fig. 1).

utive Programme, a stable functional consortium able to efficiently convert crude glycerol, a by-products of biodiesel industry, into H₂ and ethanol (patent n° RM2011A000480) was enriched from activated sludge after several months of adaptation on crude glycerol [10,11]. The further optimization of the

opment) bioaugmentation was successfully applied to improve the H₂ production (4 times both the yield and the rate) from vegetable wastes by re-inoculating, both individually and in a constructed consortium, three H₂ producing strains [12], previously isolated and enriched from the same types of

two-stage pilot plant (ENEA/CREA Patent n° 0001416926), which consists of a fermentation reactor coupled with an anaerobic digester, functioning with cheese whey and animal manure (Fig. 3). It was built in the context of the project MAREA (co-funded by the Italian Ministry for the Agriculture), for the simultaneous production of H₂ and CH₄ in a cascade process for the complete exploitation of waste streams, within a bio-refinery framework. Beside the added value of producing also H₂ instead of CH₄ alone, the two-stage process presents several advantages compared to the conventional one-stage AD process: higher stability, higher energy yield and higher organic loading rates, all factors contributing to subsequently increase the CH₄ productivity [16]. This was demonstrated in a 50 L pilot plant treating dairy wastewater in the context of the project METISOL. The separation of H₂ and CH₄ phases allowed an increase of more than 26% the CH₄ production rate, together with 30% increase of the yield of and 20% increase of CH₄ content in the biogas.

Since 2018, the research team is also studying the biomethanation process, with the aim of developing innovative technologies for "in situ" biogas upgrading to bio-CH₄, to improve the conventional AD plants, significantly reducing the costs associated with the gas cleaning process (Electric System Research Programme). For this purpose a novel hybrid gas-stirred tank reactor (Fig. 4) was developed with a partial immobilization of the microbial community [17]. The new system allowed the enrichment of CH₄ in biogas from 50% to 80% with a CO₂ residue of approximately 7%, during the treatment of cheese whey.

Currently, studies are conducted (AZERO project, Electric System Research Programme), in collaboration with players of the industrial sector, to evaluate the effectiveness of DF and AD treatments in degrading specific organic micro- [18] and macro-pollutants [19]. Moreover, experimentations on H₂ fer-



Fig.3 Two-stage, hydrogen and methane, pilot plant ENEA/CREA Patent.

process conditions, developed during the EU-FP7 project GRAIL, allowed to simultaneously reach very high productions of H₂ (21±2 L/L) and ethanol (39±1 g/L), by a non-sterile fed-batch fermentation mode using crude glycerol as the unique carbon substrate. Very high yields, both close to the theoretical maximum yield of glycerol = 1 mol/mol, were obtained (Fig. 2).

The research group also develops bioaugmentation strategies to increase the proportion of key functional microbial species in real substrates, already containing a high indigenous microbial diversity. In the context of the IDRO-BIO project and the Electric System Research Programme (funded by the Italian Ministry of Economic Devel-

opment) bioaugmentation was successfully applied to improve the H₂ production (4 times both the yield and the rate) from vegetable wastes by re-inoculating, both individually and in a constructed consortium, three H₂ producing strains [12], previously isolated and enriched from the same types of vegetable wastes [13]. To boost both the hydrolytic and the H₂ producing steps, during fermentation of recalcitrant (lignocellulosic and chitinous) wastes, bioaugmentation with hydrolytic anaerobic fungi strains combined with a H₂ producing consortium was successfully designed, leading to 75% increase of the H₂ yield, during a two stage configuration of an anaerobic digestion process [14]. Experiments aimed at scaling up (10x and 100x) the obtained results were also performed and used to set up a simplified mathematical model to simulate the kinetics of the process [15].

Indeed, the ENEA team also carry out the validation of laboratory results to the industrial stage by setting trials at the pilot scale. This was the case of the



Fig.4 A novel hybrid gas-stirred tank reactor (SOL) treating dairy wastewater, implemented with a partial immobilization of the microbial community for in situ biomethanation research.

mentation by acetogenic bacteria for the production of bio-based building blocks (acetic acid and alcohols), are also carried out (COMETA project) in the framework of the Syngas bio-refinery.

Projects and perspectives

Compared to other renewable H₂ producing technologies, DF of organic wastes has some advantages because it is a carbon neutral process which can produce continuously green H₂ with low energy requirement, while allowing sustainable waste management with pollution control. Moreover, it could become an important opportunity for future bio-refineries because potentially able to produce, together with H₂, a number of valuable by-products. Waste biomass potentially represents an abundant resource, locally available, for the decentralised production of re-

newable and clean H₂ [19], which can be directly used in fuel cells for producing green electricity at a local level or, alternatively, to boost the decarbonisation of the gas grid. A mixture of bio-H₂ ($\leq 10\%$) and bio-CH₄, produced by a new generation of advanced AD plants, can be injected into the natural gas network with the additional benefits of reducing the import of fossil CH₄ and improving the gas fuel combustion process in terms of flame velocity, stability and reduction of CO and NO_x emissions [1]. In the near future, bio-H₂ or H₂ produced by the excess of renewable electricity from non-programmable sources will serve to improve the existing AD plants, which are widely distributed (more than 2100 DA plants distributed throughout in Italy) transforming electrical energy into easily stored chemical energy (P2G). Compared to other methanation processes, biological processes (biomethanation) are particularly interesting because they can be used in plants with medium-small size like most of current biogas plants in Italy [3]. ENEA developed a technological know-how starting from the activities on specific projects (IDROBIO, MAREA, METISOL, Electric System Research Programme, GRAIL, COMETA, AZeRO antibiotics, VERITAS) and today collaborates with other research institutions (CNR, CREA, INRAE) and Universities (Sapienza, Tuscia, Federico II of Naples) for the development and optimisation of advanced AD processes and waste-based bio-refineries. Research on microbiological aspects is carried out relying on the Microbial Resource Research Infrastructure called MIRRI, a pan-European high-performance platform aiming to exploit microbial biodiversity for bioeconomy and bioscience. The group also participates to IEAH₂ renewable hydrogen task as expert in bio-H₂. ENEA's activities range from the study and understanding of the basic mechanisms of microbiological systems for the control of bioprocesses, to the design and development

of pilot scale prototypes in relevant environment, in order to satisfy the market requirements. Consequently, ENEA's researchers are closely cooperating with the CIB (Italian Biogas Consortium) and with players of the industrial sector and boast partnerships with industries supplying different kind of organic waste. Research efforts at ENEA are now aiming at improving the conversion yields of complex biomass. Researchers' objective is to develop a marketable process, based on freeze-dried microorganisms, to increase the production of bio-H₂ and bio-CH₄ from biomass with a high content of lignocellulosic component. Regarding the "in situ" biomethanation, the research activity is currently devoted to further reduce the CO₂ content to a maximum of 3%, in order to obtain a final mixture of H₂ and CH₄ with characteristics that make it suitable to be introduced into the gas network or used as biofuel for transportation, without requiring an expensive upgrading stage. Experimental trials on a 1 m³ pilot plant (Figure 5) will start within the current year by using, in addition to the dairy wastewaters, other substrates of potential interest (municipal waste and biomass from agro-industry). In order to create a flexible system for testing, in the short term, the innovative technological solutions in existing biogas plants, H₂ will be produced locally by means of an electrolyser powered by photovoltaic. Finally, to overcome the limitation of H₂ solubility in the fermenting mixture, ENEA researchers are planning to test solutions based on "in situ" H₂ production by new electrochemical or bio-electrochemical means.

Conclusions

Although bio-hydrogen is not developed at the market level, yet, its production seems to be particularly suitable for decentralised small-scale systems, integrated with waste from agriculture and food industries

or from waste-processing facilities. The best short term opportunity is to convert the fermentation by-products to CH₄ by anaerobic digestion. Thus, in a near future, the most realistic scenario is that DF and biomethanation will both contribute to the establishing of “second generation” AD plants (newly built or from the revamping of existing plants, which in Italy can be well over a thousand) aimed to the production of “green gas”

rather than renewable electricity. The future of DF as a core technology for hydrogen generation lies within the concept of the environmental bio-refinery. In this perspective, a strong policy, regulatory framework and finance (hydrogen-based) associated to improve the efficiency of DF systems (optimization of reactors design and operation and, most important, hydrogen productivities and yields) will guarantee the economic fe-

asibility of waste valorisation by DF [1].

(*)**Antonella Marone** *SIST-NORD laboratory Department of Energy Efficiency Unit; Giulia Massini, Silvia Rosa, Antonella Signorini* *Biomass and Biotechnology for Energy and Industry Laboratory Department of Energy Technologies and Renewable Source; Vito Pignatelli* *Head of Biomass and Biotechnology for Energy and Industry Laboratory*

REFERENCES

1. Toledo-alcón J, Capson-Tojo G, Marone A, Paillet F, Djalma Nunes Ferraz Júnior A, Chatellard L, et al. Basics of Bio-hydrogen Production by Dark Fermentation. In: Xia QLJCHA, editor. *Bioreact. Microb. Biomass Energy Convers. Green Ener*, Springer; 2018, p. 199–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7677-0_6.
2. Yun YM, Lee MK, Im SW, Marone A, Trably E, Shin SR, et al. Biohydrogen production from food waste: Current status, limitations, and future perspectives. *Bioresour Technol* 2018;248:79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.107>.
3. Pignatelli V, Signorini A, Rosa S. Idrogeno e biometano per il “green gas” del futuro. CH₄ 2020.
4. Cabrol L, Marone A, Tapia E, Steyer J-P, Ruiz-Filippi G, Trably E. Microbial Ecology of fermentative hydrogen producing bioprocesses: useful insights for driving the ecosystem function. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol Rev* 2017;41:158–81. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuw043>.
5. Izzo G, Rosa S, Massini G, Patriarca C, Fenice M, Fiocchetti F, et al. From Hypertrophic Lagoons to Bioenergy Production. *JEPE* 2014;546:537–46.
6. Gorrasi S, Izzo G, Massini G, Signorini A, Barghini P, Fenice M. From polluting seafood wastes to energy. production of hydrogen and methane from raw chitin material by a two-phase process. *J Environ Prot Ecol* 2014;15:526–36.
7. Ferraro A, Massini G, Mazzurco Miritana V, Rosa S, Signorini A, Fabbicino M. A novel enrichment approach for anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass: Process performance enhancement through an inoculum habitat selection. *Bioresour Technol* 2020;313:123703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123703>.
8. Di Bonito R, Marone A, Massini G, Patriarca C, Rosa S, Signorini A, et al. Characterization by length heterogeneity (LH)-PCR of a hydrogen-producing community obtained in dark fermentation using coastal lake sediment as an inoculum. *Energy Sustain Soc* 2013;3:3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-0567-3-3>.
9. Marone A, Varrone C, Fiocchetti F, Giussani B, Izzo G, Mentuccia L, et al. Optimization of substrate composition for biohydrogen production from buffalo slurry co-fermented with cheese whey and crude glycerol, using microbial mixed culture. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2015;40:209–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.11.008>.
10. Varrone C, Rosa S, Fiocchetti F, Giussani B, Izzo G, Massini G, et al. Enrichment of activated sludge for enhanced hydrogen production from crude glycerol. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2013;38:1319–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.11.069>.
11. Varrone C, Giussani B, Izzo G, Massini G, Marone A, Signorini A, et al. Statistical optimization of biohydrogen and ethanol production from crude glycerol by microbial mixed culture. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2012;37:16479–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.02.106>.
12. Marone A, Massini G, Patriarca C, Signorini A, Varrone C, Izzo G. Hydrogen production from vegetable waste by bioaugmentation of indigenous fermentative communities. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2012;37:5612–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.12.159>.
13. Marone A, Izzo G, Mentuccia L, Massini G, Paganin P, Rosa S, et al. Vegetable waste as substrate and source of suitable microflora for bio-hydrogen production. *Renew Energy* 2014;68:6–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.01.013>.

14. Ferraro A, Dottorini G, Massini G, Mazzurco Miritana V, Signorini A, Lembo G, et al. Combined bioaugmentation with anaerobic ruminal fungi and fermentative bacteria to enhance biogas production from wheat straw and mushroom spent straw. *Bioresour Technol* 2018;260:364–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.03.128>.
15. Ferraro A, Massini G, Mazzurco Miritana V, Signorini A, Race M, Fabbicino M. A simplified model to simulate bioaugmented anaerobic digestion of lignocellulosic biomass: Biogas production efficiency related to microbiological data. *Sci Total Environ* 2019;691:885–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.051>.
16. Tapia-Venegas E, Ramirez-Morales JE, Silva-Illanes F, Toledo-Alarcón J, Paillet F, Escudie R, et al. Biohydrogen production by dark fermentation: scaling-up and technologies integration for a sustainable system. *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol* 2015;14:761–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-015-9383-5>.
17. Lembo G, Rosa S, Mazzurco Miritana V, Marone A, Massini G, Fenice M, et al. Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of Second Cheese Whey: Microbial Community Response to H₂ Addition in a Partially Immobilized Anaerobic Hybrid Reactor. *Processes* 2020;9:43. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9010043>.
18. Mazzurco Miritana V, Massini G, Visca A, Grenni P, Patrolecco L, Spataro F, et al. Effects of Sulfamethoxazole on the Microbial Community Dynamics During the Anaerobic Digestion Process. *Front Microbiol* 2020;11:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.537783>.
19. Ferraro, A., Massini, G., Miritana, V. M., Panico, A., Pontoni, L., Race, M., Rosa, S., Signorini, A., Fabbicino, M. & Pirozzi, F. (2021). Bioaugmentation strategy to enhance polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons anaerobic biodegradation in contaminated soils. *Chemosphere*, 130091.
20. Chatellard L, Marone A, Carrère H, Trably E. Trends and Challenges in Biohydrogen Production from Agricultural Waste. *Biohydrogen Prod. Sustain. Curr. Technol. Futur. Perspect.*, 2017, p. 69–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-3577-4>.